



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	SB0369	<b>Title:</b>	Revise county process and penalties for violations of noxious weed laws
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	Esp, John	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact     | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2  | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2012 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2013 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

**Description of fiscal impact:** This bill should not have any significant fiscal impact on the state or local governments.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions:

1. This bill revises notification requirements that weed boards and weed coordinators use to notify a person when compliance with weed laws is needed. This does not have a fiscal impact on the state.
2. SB 369 removes public hearing requirements prior to county weed control efforts on a person's land within the district. This does not have a fiscal impact on the state.
3. This bill reduces the percentage that can be assessed as a civil penalty by a weed board. There is no fiscal impact to the state. The fiscal impact to local government should be minimal.

*Sponsor's Initials*

*Date*

*Budget Director's Initials*

*Date*